## Chapter 12 - Independent <br> Demand Inventory Management

## Inventories in the Supply Chain



## Independent vs. Dependent Demand

- Independent demand items are finished goods or other items sold to someone outside the company
- Dependent demand items are materials or component parts used in the production of another item (e.g., finished product)


## Types of Inventory: How Inventory is Used

- Anticipation or seasonal inventory
- Safety stock: buffer demand fluctuations
- Lot-size or cycle stock: take advantage of quantity discounts or purchasing efficiencies
- Pipeline or transportation inventory
- Speculative or hedge inventory protects against some future event, e.g. labor strike
- Maintenance, repair, and operating (MRO) inventories


## Objectives of Inventory Management

- Provide acceptable level of customer service (on-time delivery)
- Allow cost-efficient operations
- Minimize inventory investment


## Relevant Inventory Costs

Item Cost Cost per item plus any other direct costs associated with getting the item to the plant

Holding
Costs

Ordering
Cost
Shortage Costs

Capital, storage, and risk cost typically stated as a \% of the unit value,
e.g. 15-25\%

Fixed, constant dollar amount incurred for each order placed

Loss of customer goodwill, back order handling, and lost sales

## Order Quantity Strategies

Lot-for-lot Order exactly what is needed for the next period

Fixed-order quantity

Min-max system

Order $n$
periods

Order a predetermined amount each time an order is placed

When on-hand inventory falls below a predetermined minimum level, order enough to refill up to maximum level
Order enough to satisfy demand for the next $n$ periods

## Examples of Ordering Approaches

| Lot for Lot Example |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Requirements | 70 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 85 | 75 | 85 |
| Projected-on-Hand (30) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Order Placement | 40 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 85 | 75 | 85 |


| Fixed Order Quantity Example with Order Quantity of 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Requirements | 70 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 85 | 75 | 85 |
| Projected-on-Hand (30) | 160 | 90 | 25 | 165 | 110 | 25 | 150 | 65 |
| Order Placement | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |


| Min-Max Example with min. $\mathbf{5 0}$ and max. $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Requirements | 70 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 85 | 75 | 85 |
| Projected-on-Hand (30) | 180 | 110 | 185 | 125 | 70 | 165 | 90 | 165 |
| Order Placement | 220 |  | 140 |  |  | 180 |  | 160 |


| Order $\boldsymbol{n}$ Periods with $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3}$ periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Requirements | 70 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 85 | 75 | 85 |
| Projected-on-Hand (30) | 135 | 65 | 0 | 140 | 85 | 0 | 85 | 0 |
| Order Placement | 175 |  |  | 200 |  |  | 160 |  |

## Three Mathematical Models for Determining Order Quantity

- Economic Order Quantity (EOQ or Q System)
- An optimizing method used for determining order quantity and reorder points
- Part of continuous review system which tracks onhand inventory each time a withdrawal is made
- Economic Production Quantity (EPQ)
- A model that allows for incremental product delivery
- Quantity Discount Model
- Modifies the EOQ process to consider cases where quantity discounts are available


## Economic Order Quantity

- EOQ Assumptions:
- Demand is known \& constant no safety stock is required
- Lead time is known \& constant
- No quantity discounts are available
- Ordering (or setup) costs are constant
- All demand is satisfied (no shortages)
- The order quantity arrives in a single shipment



## EOQ: Total Cost Equation

$$
T C_{E O Q}=\left(\frac{D}{Q} S\right)+\left(\frac{Q}{2} H\right)
$$

Where
$T C=$ total annual cost
$D=$ annual demand
$Q=$ quantity to be ordered
$H=$ annual holding cost
$S=$ ordering or setup cost

## EOQ Total Costs

Total annual costs $=$ annual ordering costs + annual holding costs


Economic order quantity

## The EOQ Formula

Minimize the TC by ordering the EOQ:

$$
E O Q=\sqrt{\frac{2 D S}{H}}
$$

## When to Order: The Reorder Point

- Without safety stock: $R=d L$
where $R=$ reorder point in units
$d=$ daily/weekly demand in units
$L=$ lead time in days/weeks
- With safety stock:
$R=d L+S S$
where $S S=$ safety stock in units


## EOQ Example

- Weekly demand = 240 units
- No. of weeks per year = 52
- Ordering cost = \$50
- Unit cost = \$15
- Annual carrying charge = 20\%
- Lead time $=2$ weeks


## EOQ Example Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =52 \times 240=12,480 \text { units } / \text { year } \\
H & =0.2 \times 15=\$ 3 \text { per unit per year } \\
Q & =\sqrt{\frac{2 D S}{H}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 12,480 \times 50}{3}}=644.98 \cong 645 \text { units } \\
T C & =\left(\frac{D}{Q} S\right)+\left(\frac{Q}{2} H\right)=\left(\frac{12,480}{645} \times 50\right)+\left(\frac{645}{2} \times 3\right) \\
& =967.44+967.5=\$ 1,934.94
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
R=d L=240 \times 2=480 \text { units }
$$

## EPQ (Economic Production Quantity) Assumptions

- Same as the EOQ except: inventory arrives in increments \& is drawn down as it arrives


Order quantity 2000 units
Daily demand $(\mathrm{d})=100$ units
Daily production $(p)=250$ units

## EPQ Equations

- Adjusted total cost:

$$
T C_{E P Q}=\left(\frac{D}{Q} S\right)+\left(\frac{I_{M A X}}{2} H\right)
$$

- Maximum inventory: $I_{\text {MAX }}=Q\left(1-\frac{d}{p}\right)$
- Adjusted order quantity: $\quad E P Q=\sqrt{\frac{2 D S}{H\left(1-\frac{d}{p}\right)}}$


## EPQ Example

- Annual demand $=18,000$ units
- Production rate $=2500$ units/month
- Setup cost = \$800
- Annual holding cost $=\$ 18$ per unit
- Lead time = 5 days
- No. of operating days per month $=20$


## EPQ Example Solution

$$
d=\frac{18,000}{12}=1500 \text { units } / \text { month } ; p=2500 \text { units } / \text { month }
$$

$$
Q=\sqrt{\frac{2 D S}{H\left(1-\frac{d}{p}\right)}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 18,000 \times 800}{18 \times\left(1-\frac{1500}{2500}\right)}}=2000 \text { units }
$$

$$
I_{M A X}=Q\left(1-\frac{d}{p}\right)=2000 \times\left(1-\frac{1500}{2500}\right)=800 \text { units }
$$

$$
T C=\left(\frac{D}{Q} S\right)+\left(\frac{I_{M A X}}{2} H\right)=\left(\frac{18,000}{2000} \times 800\right)+\left(\frac{800}{2} \times 18\right)
$$

$$
=7,200+7,200=14,400
$$

## EPQ Example Solution (cont.)

- The reorder point:

$$
R=d L=\frac{1500}{20} \times 5=375 \text { units }
$$

- With safety stock of 200 units:

$$
R=d L+S S=\frac{1500}{20} \times 5+200=575 \text { units }
$$

## Quantity Discount Model Assumptions

- Same as the EOQ, except:
- Unit price depends upon the quantity ordered
- Adjusted total cost equation:

$$
T C_{Q D}=\left(\frac{D}{Q} S\right)+\left(\frac{Q}{2} H\right)+P D
$$

## Quantity Discount Procedure

- Calculate the EOQ at the lowest price
- Determine whether the EOQ is feasible at that price
- Will the vendor sell that quantity at that price?
- If yes, stop - if no, continue
- Check the feasibility of EOQ at the next higher price
- Continue to the next slide ...


## QD Procedure (continued)

- Continue until you identify a feasible EOQ
- Calculate the total costs (including total item cost) for the feasible EOQ model
- Calculate the total costs of buying at the minimum quantity required for each of the cheaper unit prices
- Compare the total cost of each option \& choose the lowest cost alternative
- Any other issues to consider?


## QD Example

- Annual Demand = 5000 units
- Ordering cost = \$49
- Annual carrying charge = 20\%
- Unit price schedule:

| Quantity | Unit Price |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0 to 999 | $\$ 5.00$ |
| 1000 to 1999 | $\$ 4.80$ |
| 2000 and over | $\$ 4.75$ |

## QDExample Solution

- Step 1

$$
Q_{P=\$ 4.75}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 5,000 \times 49}{0.2 \times 4.75}}=718(\text { not feasible })
$$

$$
Q_{P=\$ 4.80}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 5,000 \times 49}{0.2 \times 4.80}}=714(\text { not feasible })
$$

$$
Q_{P=\$ 5.00}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 5,000 \times 49}{0.2 \times 5.00}}=700(\text { feasible })
$$

## QD Example Solution (Cont.)

## - Step 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T C_{Q=700}=\frac{5,000}{700} \times 49+\frac{700}{2} \times 0.2 \times 5.00+5.00 \times 5000=\$ 25,700 \\
& T C_{Q=1000}=\frac{5,000}{1000} \times 49+\frac{1000}{2} \times 0.2 \times 4.80+4.80 \times 5000=\$ 24,725 \\
& T C_{Q=2000}=\frac{5,000}{2000} \times 49+\frac{2000}{2} \times 0.2 \times 4.75+4.75 \times 5000=\$ 24,822.50
\end{aligned}
$$

## What if Demand is Uncertain?



## Safety Stock and Service Level

- Order-cycle service level is the probability that demand during lead time won't exceed on-hand inventory.
- Risk of a stockout $=1$ - (service level)
- More safety stock means greater service level and smaller risk of stockout


## Safety Stock and Reorder Point

- Without safety stock:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=d L \\
& \text { where } R=\text { reorder point in units } \\
& \quad d=\text { daily demand in units } \\
& L=\text { lead time in days }
\end{aligned}
$$

- With safety stock:
$R=d L+S S$ where $S S=$ safety stock in units


## Reorder Point Determination

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S=z \sigma_{d L} \\
& \text { i.e., } \\
& R=d L+z \sigma_{d L}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{R}=$ reorder point
$\mathrm{d}=$ average daily demand
$\mathrm{L}=$ lead time in days
z = number of standard deviations associated with desired service level
$\sigma=$ standard deviation of demand during lead time

## Safety Stock Example

- Daily demand $=20$ units
- Lead time = 10 days
- S.D. of lead time demand $=50$ units
- Service level $=90 \%$

Determine:

1. Safety stock
2. Reorder point

## Safety Stock Solution

Step 1 - determine z
From Appendix B: $z=1.28$
Step 2 - determine safety stock

$$
S S=1.28 \times 50=64 \text { units }
$$

Step 3 - determine reorder point

$$
R=d L+S S=20 \times 10+64=264 \text { units }
$$

This table gives the area under the standardized normal curve from 0 to $z$, as shown by the shaded portion of the following figure.

Examples: If $z$ is the standard normal random variable, then
$\operatorname{Prob}(0 \leq z \leq 1.32)=0.4066$
Prob $(z \geq 1.32)=0.5000-0.4066=0.0934$
$\operatorname{Prob}(z \leq 1.32)=\operatorname{Prob}(z \leq 0)+\operatorname{Prob}(0 \leq z \leq 1.32)$
$=0.5000+0.4066=0.9066$
$\operatorname{Prob}(z \leq-1.32)=\operatorname{Prob}(z \geq 1.32)=0.0934$ (by symmetry)


| $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.0000 | 0.0040 | 0.0080 | 0.0120 | 0.0160 | 0.0199 | 0.0239 | 0.0279 | 0.0319 | 0.0359 |
| 0.1 | 0.0398 | 0.0438 | 0.0478 | 0.0517 | 0.0557 | 0.0596 | 0.0636 | 0.0675 | 0.0714 | 0.0753 |
| 0.2 | 0.0793 | 0.0832 | 0.0871 | 0.0910 | 0.0948 | 0.0987 | 0.1026 | 0.1064 | 0.1103 | 0.1141 |
| 0.3 | 0.1179 | 0.1217 | 0.1255 | 0.1293 | 0.1331 | 0.1368 | 0.1406 | 0.1443 | 0.1480 | 0.1517 |
| 0.4 | 0.1554 | 0.1591 | 0.1628 | 0.1664 | 0.1700 | 0.1736 | 0.1772 | 0.1808 | 0.1844 | 0.1879 |
| 0.5 | 0.1915 | 0.1950 | 0.1985 | 0.2019 | 0.2054 | 0.2088 | 0.2123 | 0.2157 | 0.2190 | 0.2224 |
| 0.6 | 0.2257 | 0.2291 | 0.2324 | 0.2357 | 0.2389 | 0.2422 | 0.2454 | 0.2486 | 0.2518 | 0.2549 |
| 0.7 | 0.2580 | 0.2612 | 0.2642 | 0.2673 | 0.2704 | 0.2734 | 0.2764 | 0.2794 | 0.2823 | 0.2852 |
| 0.8 | 0.2881 | 0.2910 | 0.2939 | 0.2967 | 0.2995 | 0.3023 | 0.3051 | 0.3078 | 0.3106 | 0.3133 |
| 0.9 | 0.3159 | 0.3186 | 0.3212 | 0.3238 | 0.3264 | 0.3289 | 0.3315 | 0.3340 | 0.3365 | 0.3389 |
| 1.0 | 0.3413 | 0.3438 | 0.3461 | 0.3485 | 0.3508 | 0.3531 | 0.3554 | 0.3577 | 0.3599 | 0.3621 |
| 1.1 | 0.3643 | 0.3665 | 0.3686 | 0.3708 | 0.3729 | 0.3749 | 0.3770 | 0.3790 | 0.3810 | 0.3830 |
| 1.2 | 0.3849 | 0.3869 | 0.3888 | 0.3907 | 0.3925 | 0.3944 | 0.3962 | 0.3980 | 0.3997 | 0.4015 |
| 1.3 | 0.4032 | 0.4049 | 0.4066 | 0.4082 | 0.4099 | 0.4115 | 0.4131 | 0.4147 | 0.4162 | 0.4177 |
| 1.4 | 0.4192 | 0.4207 | 0.4222 | 0.4236 | 0.4251 | 0.4265 | 0.4279 | 0.4292 | 0.4306 | 0.4319 |
| 1.5 | 0.4332 | 0.4345 | 0.4357 | 0.4370 | 0.4382 | 0.4394 | 0.4406 | 0.4418 | 0.4429 | 0.4441 |
| 1.6 | 0.4452 | 0.4463 | 0.4474 | 0.4484 | 0.4495 | 0.4505 | 0.4515 | 0.4525 | 0.4535 | 0.4545 |
| 1.7 | 0.4554 | 0.4564 | 0.4573 | 0.4582 | 0.4591 | 0.4599 | 0.4608 | 0.4616 | 0.4625 | 0.4633 |
| 1.8 | 0.4641 | 0.4649 | 0.4656 | 0.4664 | 0.4671 | 0.4678 | 0.4686 | 0.4693 | 0.4699 | 0.4706 |
| 1.9 | 0.4713 | 0.4719 | 0.4726 | 0.4732 | 0.4738 | 0.4744 | 0.4750 | 0.4756 | 0.4761 | 0.4767 |

## ABC Inventory Classification

- ABC classification is a method for determining level of control and frequency of review of inventory items
- A Pareto analysis can be done to segment items into value categories depending on annual dollar volume
- A Items - typically $20 \%$ of the items accounting for $80 \%$ of the inventory value-use Q system
- B Items - typically an additional $30 \%$ of the items accounting for $15 \%$ of the inventory value-use Q or P
- C Items - Typically the remaining $50 \%$ of the items accounting for only 5\% of the inventory value-use $P$

ABC Example: the table below shows a solution to an ABC analysis. The information that is required to do the analysis is: Item \#, Unit \$ Value, and Annual Unit Usage. The analysis requires a calculation of Annual Usage \$ and sorting that column from highest to lowest $\$$ value, calculating the cumulative annual \$ volume, and grouping into typical ABC classifications.

| Item | Annual Usage $(\$)$ | Percentage of Total $\$$ | Cumulative Percentage of Total $\$$ | Item Classification |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 106 | 16,500 | 34.4 | 34.4 | A |
| 110 | 12,500 | 26.1 | 60.5 | A |
| 115 | 4500 | 9.4 | 69.9 | B |
| 105 | 3200 | 6.7 | 76.6 | B |
| 111 | 2250 | 4.7 | 81.3 | B |
| 104 | 2000 | 4.2 | 85.5 | B |
| 114 | 1200 | 2.5 | 88 | C |
| 107 | 1000 | 2.1 | 90.1 | C |
| 101 | 960 | 2 | 92.1 | C |
| 113 | 875 | 1.8 | 93.9 | C |
| 103 | 750 | 1.6 | 95.5 | C |
| 108 | 600 | 1.3 | 96.8 | C |
| 112 | 600 | 1.3 | 98.1 | C |
| 102 | 500 | 1 | 99.1 | C |
| 109 | 500 | 1 | 100.1 | C |

## Inventory Record Accuracy

- Inaccurate inventory records can cause:
- Lost sales
- Disrupted operations
- Poor customer service
- Lower productivity
- Planning errors and expediting
- Two methods are available for checking record accuracy
- Periodic counting-physical inventory
- Cycle counting-daily counting of pre-specified items provides the following advantages:
- Timely detection and correction of inaccurate records
- Elimination of lost production time due to unexpected stock outs
- Structured approach using employees trained in cycle counting

